

Outline Sustainability Appraisal Responses and proposals for taking them forward

Consultee	Strategic Aim	OSA Objective	Consultation comment	Proposed action / comment
CoYC Sustainability Officer	1 to 5	Headline objective (reduction of Ecological Footprint)	Transport accounts for 19% of York's ecological footprint. Therefore the impact of LTP3 is greater than stated in the OSA. Score increased to ++	Agreed. To be taken forward to the full SA
	1	Description	Need a better definition of quality and what a suitable trip is	Agreed. To be taken forward to the full SA
		S1	Need to avoid degradation of landscapes etc. if access to them is improved	Agreed. To be taken forward to the full SA
		S2 & S4	Need to consider safety when introducing quiet(er) vehicles	Agreed. To be taken forward to the full SA
		EN2	Concerns relating to the negative affects of too large public transport vehicles in the city on the built environment.	Not expecting any vehicles larger than the largest currently used
		EN5	Concerns regarding the affects of an increase in diesel-powered public transport	Higher order Euro standards set substantially reduced allowable emission levels. This has to be balanced with other vehicle advances to avoid higher fuel consumption.
		2	EN2	Need to give due consideration to the underlying archaeology and its protection
	EN6		Need to use recycled construction materials wherever possible in the construction of strategic links	Agreed. To be taken forward to the full SA
	EN9		Inappropriately implemented infrastructure may increase surface runoff	Agreed. To be taken forward to the full SA
	3	S10	Public transport needs to be priced appropriately to allow all segments of society to take advantage. The development of 'incentives' could allow this.	Agreed. To be taken forward to the full SA

Annex D
Outline Sustainability Appraisal: Responses

Consultee	Strategic Aim	OSA Objective	Consultation comment	Proposed action / comment
	4	S6	Promoting alternatively-fuelled vehicles could increase congestion as more people take advantage of the opportunities they provide	Agreed, as people may perceive the environmental dis-benefits of using private transport are mitigated/outweighed by the benefits from using alternative technologies. Congestion delay may still be a governing factor. To be taken forward to the full SA
English Heritage	General Comment		No record of having been consulted on a Scoping Report for this latest Local Transport Plan for the City of York.	A draft Scoping Report has been prepared as an update of the LTP2 scoping report, and has not been subject to formal consultation. A full Scoping report (as an update to the LTP2 scoping report) is intended to accompany the Full SA.
			The Aims are extremely broad and could well include specific measures which might have negative effects upon the historic environment of the City. The Environment Report will need to assess each of the specific LTP3 proposals under these Aims.	Agreed. To be taken forward to the full SA
			English Heritage strongly advises that the Council's conservation and archaeological staff are closely involved throughout the preparation and implementation of the assessment of the LTP.	Agreed.

Annex D
Outline Sustainability Appraisal: Responses

Consultee	Strategic Aim	OSA Objective	Consultation comment	Proposed action / comment
Natural England	General Comments		limited information on the methodology used for carrying out the appraisal. This should be explained in the main SA report	Agreed. To be taken forward to the full SA
			The main report should make clear what baseline data has been collected, what the key sustainability issues are in York and how the SA objectives have been decided.	Included in Baseline Evidence background paper to LTP3, but need to update for SA issues
			The sustainability objectives should be tested against each other to determine any potential conflicts,	Agreed. To be taken forward to the full SA
			Further guidance and guidance on SEA returned	To be considered in preparing full LTP3 and SA
	1 to 5	EN3	Need to conserve and enhance geodiversity as well as biodiversity	Agreed. To be taken forward to the full SA
			An accessible natural environment may be better included as a 'social' objective under S1.	The SA objectives are the same as for the LDF therefore unlikely to change unless LDF SA changes.
The Environment Agency	No bespoke comments on the LTP and environmental report returned, but Environment Agency 'Local Transport Plan (LTP) Checklist notes' supplied			